

DECISION OF RTA MEETING , KANNUR HELD ON 11.2.2015

Present: Sri. P Balakiran IAS, Chairman RTA and District Collector
Sri. P Unnirajan IPS, Member RTA and District Police Chief
Sri. Rajeev Puthalath, Member RTA and Deputy Transport Commissioner, North zone

Item No. 1

Heard, the request was for the new limited stop for stage carriages at Panunda road Junction between Pachapoika and Kayalode on the route Kuthuparamb-Kannur. As per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, Thalassery, the nearby limited stops of the proposed bus stop is Pachapoika and Kayalode which are only 50 and 60 meters from the proposed stops. Granting of new limited stop will create a situation that three limited stops within a period of 1 km. In such circumstances the purpose of the limited stop itself will be defeated. Hence this authority does not find any reason to grant a new limited stop at the proposed place. Hence **rejected**.

Item No. 2

Heard, Motor Vehicle Inspector, Thalassery reported the application for the proposed bus stop near EMS memorial College of applied science between Kelan Peedika and Kunnoth High School stop is a genuine one. There is a real need for the new bus stop. Hence **granted**.

Item No. 3

Heard, allowing a new bus stop at the proposed in front of Kannur University at Thavakkara will create a serious traffic problem, since there is no adequate space for the stop or bus bay. Moreover, the nearby bus stops are only 200m away from the proposed stops. So this authority does not find any reason for granting a new bus stop at the proposed place. Hence **rejected**.

Item No. 4

Heard, the request was for a new Fast passenger bus stop at 21st mile on the route portion, Mattannur-Irity. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed point is the connecting point of various places like Maloor, Sivapuram and Thillankeri. So granting of new Fast Passenger bus stop at 21st mile will be much beneficial for the travelling public to reach Irity and Mattannur. Hence the Fast passenger bus stop at 21st mile **granted**.

Item No. 5

Heard, as per the report of Motor vehicle Inspector, Thalassery the proposed bus stop is front of holly St. Antony's Church, Manjalampuram. The proposed bus stop will be much beneficial for the general public. Hence **granted**.

Item No. 6

Heard, as per the report of the Motor Vehicle Inspector, Taliparamba, the nearby limited stops of the proposed limited stops are Pokkund Jn and Taliparamba HQ Hosp. stop. The distance from the existing stop to the proposed stops are 1.6km and 4.7 kms. Moreover, on perusing the report submitted by the enquiry officer, this authority finds that various institutions and Govt. offices are situated at the proposed Chorukkala stop. Hence granting a new limited stop at the proposed place will be much beneficial for the travelling public and general public reaching at Chorukkala for various official purposes. Hence the limited stop bus stop **granted** at Chorukkala.

Item No. 7

Heard, the Secretary, Kannur District Kurichya Muneetta Samithi applied for limited stop bus stop at Changala gate on the route Kuthuparamba-Kottiyoor. As per the report of the enquiry officer,

Thalassery, the proposed bus stop is the connecting point of various remote tribal colonies, like Chembukavu, Peruva, Kolappa on the route Kuthuparamba-Kottiyoor. More over the nearby limited stop is at Kolayad which is nearly 1 km away from the proposed stop. So this authority feels that proposed limited stop will be beneficial for the public from these remote tribal colonies and general public also. Hence the limited stop bus stop at Changalagate, Kolayad, **granted**.

Item No. 8

Heard, the request from the Joint Regional Transport Officer, Taliparamba to rearrange the existing bus stop at Pushpagiri in front of the St. Joseph School, Pushpagiri was with a intention to reduce the traffic block on the stop since the stage carriages are stopping both side in parallal. By rearrange the stop towards the Chapparapadavu, Alakode, Kudiyanmala 50m ahead from the existing stop positively reduce the traffic block on the stop at Pushpagiri. This authority is also of the opinion that the re-arrangement of stop will be beneficial. Hence the re-arrangement of stop **granted**.

Item No. 9

Heard, The application for the allotment of 500 TMC Nos for the Thalassery Municipality **granted**. Joint Regional Transport Officer, Thalassery is directed to convene a meeting of all the trade unions, Passengers' association and all other interested parties and fix the criteria. More over TMC should be issued to all the Municipal areas including newly included Kodiyeri Panchayath and same should be issued to the residents of Thalassery Municipality.

Item No. 10 (1)

Concurrence for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 56/5258 conducting on the route Theekuni-Koyilandy-Koothuparamba (via) Panoor, Mahepalam, Mekkunnu, Vadakara, Payyoli **granted** to RTA Vadakara subject to the disposal of WPC No. 22196/2009 without prejudice to decide the nature of service.

Item No. 10(2)

KSRTC vehemently objected the grant of renewal concurrence stating that S/C KL 55/E 2882 is now conducting service as Super Fast on the route Thalassery-Kozhikode-Guruvayoor (via) Mahe, Vadakara, Payyoli, Feroke NB, Ramanattukara, University, Kakkad, Changuvetty, Valanchery, Edappal, and Kunnamkulam and KSRTC has already taken over the service as per the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala vide WA 667/2014. So no permit exists now. Secretary RTA is directed to verify the contention raised by the KSRTC regarding the taking over of existing permit and place before next RTA and also wait for the disposal of all the case before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 10(3)

KSRTC vehemently objected the grant of renewal concurrence stating that S/C KL 55/K 9171 is now conducting service as LSFP on the route Kannur-Kozhikode-Trissur and KSRTC has already taken over the service as per the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala vide WA 667/2014. So no permit exists now. Secretary RTA is directed to verify the contention raised by the KSRTC regarding the taking over of existing permit and place before next RTA and also wait for the disposal of all the case before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 10(4)

Concurrence for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 08/AN 7399 conducting on the route Thamarassery-Koyilandi-Vadakara-Mahe-Thalassery-Kannur-Parassinikadavu as LSOS **granted** to RTA

Vadakara subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009 without prejudice to decide the nature of service.

Item No. 10(5)

KSRTC vehemently objected the grant of renewal concurrence stating that S/C KL 58/J 4334 is now conducting service as LSFP on the route Vengad – Guruvayoor and KSRTC has already taken over the service as per the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala vide WA 667/2014. So no permit exists now. Secretary RTA is directed to verify the contention raised by the KSRTC regarding the taking over of existing permit and place before next RTA and also wait for the disposal of all the case before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 10(6)

Concurrence for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 13/R 3553 conducting on the route Kannur-Kozhikode (via) Thalassery, Mahe, Vadakara, Koyilandi as LSOS **granted** to RTA Vadakara subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009 without prejudice to decide the nature of service.

Item No. 10(7)

Concurrence for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 18/7506 conducting on the route Loknarkavu-Mahe-Thalassery **granted** to RTA Vadakara subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009 without prejudice to decide the nature of service.

Item No. 11(1)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 11/AG 1119 conducting service on the route Kottiyoor Temple-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 14.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(2)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 13/AA 189 conducting service on the route Aralam-Kasaragod as Super Fast from the period 29.5.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(3)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 58/E 27 conducting service on the route Kozhikod-Mattannur as LSOS from the period 14.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(4)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 60/B 729 conducting service on the route Padanna Kadappuram-Adakkathode as LSOS from the period 26.05.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(5)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 58/J 189 conducting service on the route Thillankeri-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 11.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(6)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 58/N 3069 conducting service on the route Kuttupuzha-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 02.10.2008 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(7)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 58/D 4689 conducting service on the route Mattannur-Kannur-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 15.01.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(8)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode and RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 13/AD 4044 conducting service on the route Kanhangad-Karippur airport as Super Fast from the period 15.11.2012 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(9)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara in respect of S/C KL 58/B 3177 conducting service on the route Kuthuparamba-Thottipalam as ordinary from the period 02.01.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(10)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and RTA Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 58/N 2645 conducting service on the route Aralam-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 13.11.2014 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(11)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara in respect of S/C KL 58/D 7002 conducting service on the route Thalassery-Thottipalam as ordinary from the period 08.01.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(12)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 13/V 6375 conducting service on the route Thalassery -Kasaragod as LSOS from the period 03.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(13)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 12/E 8757 conducting service on the route Thottipalam-Anjarakandy as ordinary service from the period 03.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(14)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Vadakara and Kozhikode in respect of S/C KL 13/AB 7576 conducting service on the route Cheemeni-Kozhikode as Super Fast from the period 30.12.2014 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(15)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kozhikode and RTA Vadakara in respect of S/C KL 60/F 8490 conducting service on the route Vellarikundu-Kozhikode as Super Fast from the period 03.4.2015 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 11(16)

Heard, Secretary, RTA is directed **call concurrence** from RTA Kasaragod in respect of S/C KL 58/9499 conducting service on the route Kottiyoor-Kozhikode as LSOS from the period 05.12.2014 subject to the disposal of WPc No. 22196/2009.

Item No. 12

Heard, application for fresh permit submitted by the KSRTC in respect of the S/C KL 15/5452 on the route Payyannur-Rajagiri (via) Cherupuzha **granted** with the submitted timing as per Rule 212 of the Kerala Motor Vehicle Rule 1989.

Item No. 13

Heard, the counsel representing for the applicant and also perused the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPc No. 6567/2014. RTA examined the application in detail. Total route length of the proposed route is 109.5 kms and the overlapping in the NH or notified area is 5 kms which is within the permissible limit. Hence the averments of KSRTC regarding the scheme violation will not sustain. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer in details it is known that the trips offered from Chakkarakkal to Kannur HQ Hosp. through the interior portion of the like Pallipoil, CP Store, Chathoth kulam etc. So this authority feels that granting of this permit will be much beneficial for the traveling public of these interior parts. Authority also takes the consideration of representation made before this authority in oral. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 59/6336 on the route Kottiyoor Ambayathode-Kannur HQ Hosp. (via) Thundiyl, Peravoor, Nedumpoil, Kuthuparamba, Pathiriyad, Anjarakandy, Chakkarakal, Keezhara, Kadachira, Pallipoil, Attadappa, Thazhechovva, Kannur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 14

Heard, the counsel represented for the applicant. This application for fresh regular permit was considered in the RTA meeting held on 22.1.2014 and directed to offer more trips towards ill served area. Now the applicant offered additional trips towards Ettikulam which is comparatively remote area with less stage carriage services. So this authority is of the opinion granting of fresh regular permit will be much beneficial for the travelling public from Ettikulam, Ramanthali Neval Academy etc. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 6185 on the route Ettikulam-Korom Engg. College (via) Payyannur, Rmanthali Neval gate, Vadakkumbad, Palakkode subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 15

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant and perused the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPc No. 30328/2014. RTA examined the application in detail. On examination of the report of the enquiry officer, it is seen that only one trip is provide to Kuttupuzha, where the stage carriage service very less at present. The offered trip towards Kuttupuzha is also in very early morning. So this authority feels that the offered trips towards Kuttupuzha is not sufficient and not helpful to the travelling public to and from Kuttupuzha. More over all other trips are offered on the route Iritty-Thalassery. The proposed route portion from Kuthuparamba to Thalassery is very well saturated with sufficient stage carriage services. The route portion from Iritty-Mattannur is also well served sector with sufficient stage carriage services. So this authority is of the opinion that introduction of more service on the well served portion like Kuthuparamba to Thalassery, Mattannur to Iritty will create an unhealthy competition among the operators of the route portion which will lead to accidents. So this authority does not find any reason to grant new permit on the well served portion. Hence the application **rejected**.

Item No. 16

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant and perused the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPC No. 30309/2014. RTA examined the application in detail. On examination of the report of the enquiry officer it is seen that only starting and halting trips are offered to Manikkadavu which is highly ill served area and no adequate stage carriage services at present. This authority feels that trips offered to the route portion towards Manikadavu is not sufficient to meet the requirement of passengers to and from Manikadavu. More over all other trips are offered on the route Iritty-Thalassery and one single trip to Panoor. The proposed route portion from Kuthuparamba to Thalassery is very well saturated with sufficient stage carriage services. The route portion from Iritty-Mattannur is also well served sector with sufficient stage carriage services. So this authority is of the opinion that introduction of more service on the well served portion like Kuthuparamba to Thalassery, Mattannur to Iritty will create an unhealthy competition among the operators of the route portion which will lead to accidents. So this authority does not find any reason to grant new permit on the well served portion. Hence the application **rejected**.

Item No. 17

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The matter was considered in the RTA meeting held on 8.8.2014 and directed to offer more trips towards ill served areas like Tirur. Now the applicant offered additional trips towards ill served area. This authority is of the opinion that introduction of new service will be much beneficial for travelling public from the ill served areas. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 58/5455 on the route Tirur-Taliparamba-Chemperi (via) Kanhileri, Kaniyarvayal, Sreekandapuram, Cheparamba, Pooparamba, Valakai subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to endorse the lease on the registration certificate after obtaining NOC from the hypothecated firm if required.

Item No. 18

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The application for fresh regular permit was considered in the RTA meeting held on 8.8.2014 and adjourned with a direction to offer more trips towards the ill served areas. Now the applicant submitted a modified timing with offering more trips towards the ill served areas. Applicant did not offer any vehicle before the RTA to issue fresh regular permit. The report of the enquiry officer shows that the proposed route is passing through various remote ill served areas where the stage carriage services are comparatively very less. So this authority is of the opinion that introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public from these interior areas. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Cherukunnuthara-Chalode(via) Taliparamba, Kuppam, Ezhome, Payangadi, Puthiyangadi, Kannapuram, Anjampeedika, Ozhacrome, Vellikkeel, Dharmasala, Muyyam, Parassinikadavu, Karinkalkuzhi, Mayyil, Cheruvathalamotta, Kololam, Vaduvankulam subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to produce the vehicle within a period of one month.

Item No. 19

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The matter was considered in the RTA meeting held on 8.8.2014 and adjourned with a direction secretary, RTA to conduct a detailed study regarding timing proposed. This authority perused the report submitted by the enquiry officer and it is seen that the intention of the applicant is to concentrate main on the route portion Peralassery-Thalassery. The route portion from Pinarayi to Thalassery presently stage carriage is operating in every 15 m. More over the applicant is deliberately avoiding the route portion like Parapram where the stage carriage is comparatively less at present. This authority feels that introduction of new service on the bus route where presently sufficient service is available will lead to an unhealthy competition among the stage carriage operators which will lead to accident. This authority is of the opinion that new trips should be offered towards the ill served areas so better opportunity should

be available for the travelling public from the ill served areas. Hence this authority directing to the applicant to offer more trips towards ill served areas and matter **adjourned**.

Item No. 20

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The fresh permit application is covering mainly ill served area like Cheruvanchery-Marappalam, Cheruvanchery-Pookode. There is no overlapping on the NH or notified areas. There so serious objection from the other operators and only timing objections were raised. So this authority feels that introduction of new service on these ill served areas will much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/T 5002 on the route Marappalam-Cheruvanchery-Thalassery (via) Muthiyanga, Kottayodi, Pookode, Narvoor, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011

Item No. 21

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The total route length of the proposed route is 84.5kms and overlapping on the NH or notified area is 4 kms which is within the permissible limit. No serious objection was raised by the existing operators. The proposed route is passing through various ill served areas like Varam to Varam road, Mayyil-Vaduvankulam, Ettayar-Kaniyarvayal etc. So introduction new services through these ill served areas are much beneficial to travelling public. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/L 3477 on the route Chalode-Kannur HQ Hospital-Sreekandapuram-Irity (via) Vaduvankulam, Kuttiyattur Panchayath, Pavannoor motta, Mayyil, Kolachery mukku, Chelerimukku, Varam Kadavu, Melechovva, Malappattam, Kaniyarvayal, Irikkur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 22

Heard, the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route includes the Payancheri to Kariyal through newly built Jabbar Kadavu palam. The fitness certificate from the executive engineer also received. So the introduction of a service through the newly built bridge will much beneficial for the traveling public. More over the S/C KL 13/M 4858 is now conducting service though the proposed route U/s 87(1)c of the Kerala Motor Vehicle Act 1988 with a tentative timing. So this authority feels grant of a new regular permit through the newly built bridge will much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/M 4858 on the route Chedikulam-Irity (via) Aralam, Payam, Kariyal, Jabbar kadavu palam, Payancheri subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011

Item No. 23.

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route is Vellunni-Santhigiri which is highly remote area where there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. As per the report of the enquiry officer, from Adakkathode to Vellunni only one stage carriage now conducting service at present. More over Vellunni to Kelakam there is no stage carriage service at present. The proposed route is hilly area also. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer, this authority is of the opinion that new stage carriage service should be introduced to these areas. The S/C KL 07/AF 1744 is now conducting service on the same route U/S 87(1)c of the KMV Act 1988 with a tentative timing. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 07/AF 1744 on the route Vellunni – Santhigiri (via) Poovathumchola, Kelakam, Chediamparamba, Parthode, Adakkathode subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 24

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route covers 67 kms and 2.5km lies in NH or notified area which is within permissible limit. No serious objections were received from the

other operators of the same route. Only timing objections were raised before this authority. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Odakadavu-Thalassery-Keezhathur-Chakkarakkal-Mattannur (via) Mambaram, Moonuperiya, Anjarakandy, Keezhallur, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to produce the vehicle within a period of one month.

Item No. 25

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route is short distance route covering only 8 kms. As per the enquiry officer's report, the proposed route is connecting two interior portions Adakkathode to Kelakam which is highly remote areas without sufficient stage carriage service. S/C KL 07/BA 1607 is now conducting service on the same route U/s 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988 with a set of settled timings. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 07/BA 1607 on the route Kelakam –Adakkathode with the settled timings.

Item No. 26

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report submitted by the enquiry officer the proposed route not overlapping with NH or notified areas. More over the proposed route is passing through ill served route portions like Madappura-Central Poiloor, Panoor-Thalassery (via) Manekkara etc. So introduction of new service on these route will be much beneficial for the travelling public. More over temporary permit was granted to S/C KL 13/K 5052 on the same route U/s 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988.

So this authority is of the opinion that introduction new services on the proposed route will be helpful to the travelling public. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/K 5052 on the route

Madappura -Thalassery-Vilakkottur (via) Central Poiloor, Kallikandy, Parat, Cheruparamba, Panoor, Manakkara, Koppalam, Manjodi subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 27

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route is passing through the remote ill served hilly areas. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is no overlapping on the NH or notified areas. So the introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public from these ill served areas. Considering the necessity of the stage carriage service on the proposed route a temporary permit was also granted U/s 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/K 1449 on the route Vaniyappara- Iritty-Karikkottakkari-Angadikkadavu (via) Randamkadavu, Anapanthi, Chempothangadikavala, Edoor, Iritty, Vallithode, Madathil subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 28

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, there is no overlapping on the NH or notified area. More over certain part of the proposed route is passing through ill served area. So this authority is of the opinion that introduction of new service will be beneficial for the travelling public. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 06/B 6476 on the route Puthiyatheru – Mattannur (via) Kambil, Karinkalkuzhi, Arimbra, Mullakody, Mayyil, Chalode subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 29

There was no representation for the applicant before this authority while considering the application. More over as per the report of the enquiry officer, the concentration of the applicant is for conducting service only on the well served route portion Thalassery-Kuthuparamba where there

is over saturated stage carriage services at present. Introduction a new service on the route portion Thalassery-Kuthuparamba will lead to a unhealthy competition among the other operators on the same route which will lead to an accident. So this authority does not find any reason to consider this application in future also. Hence application for fresh regular permit **rejected**.

Item No. 30

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route is passing through ill served areas like Madappura, Parayullaparamba. The report of the enquiry officer shows that the introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the general public and student community from these ill served areas. There is no virgin portion on the proposed route and no overlapping on the NH or notified area. It is further noticed that considering the necessity of the general public a temporary permit was granted on the same route U/s 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Parayullaparamba-Thalassery (via) Vadakke poiloor, Central Poiloor, Madappura, Cheruparamba, Panoor, Kopalam, Manekkara subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No.31

Application was not pressed by the applicant.

Item No. 32

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through ill served areas like Vembady, Kanad, Uliyil. There was no serious objections from other operators on the same route. Only timing objections were raised by the other operators. More over this authority also noticed that a temporary permit U/s 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988 was granted on the same route as per the report of the enquiry officer. So this authority feels that the grant of fresh regular permit will be much beneficial for the travelling public on the proposed route. Timing was also settled while issuing the temporary permit. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/N 3790 on the route Mattannur-Iritty-Irikkur (via) Uliyil, Kakkayangad, Thillankeri, Palottupalli, Vembadi, Kodolipram, Kolappa, Naikalipalam, Maruthai, Palayode, Kanad, Keezhallur with settled set of timings.

Item No. 33

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The permit of the vehicle shown in the application S/C KL 13/H 5515 was replaced with another vehicle. The entire distance of the proposed route is 62 kms and out of which 8 km lies within the jurisdiction of RTA, Vadakara which is coming within limit of general concurrence. There is no overlapping in the NH or notified area. The objections were raised only regarding the timings. So this authority is of the opinion that the grant of fresh regular permit should be granted. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Thalassery- Valayam-Kadavathur (via) Manjodi, Kopalam, Manekkara, Kunduchira, Panoor, Cheruparamba, C Poiloor, Kayalottuthazhe, Kallunira, Aniyaram subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011. with a direction to produce the vehicle within a period of one month.

Item No. 34

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route portion Thondiyil-Channapara(via) Attanchery 3.71 km is virgin portion. The fitness report from the Executive Engineer PWD had already received. This authority also noticed that a temporary permit was granted on the same route U/s 87(1)c of the KMV Act 1988 considering the necessity of the permit. So this authority finds that grant of fresh regular permit on the proposed route will be much beneficial for the travelling public since most of the proposed route is highly

remote and ill served where stage carriage service is very less. More over this authority also considered the fact that a temporary permit was granted on the same route U/S 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988 as per the report of the enquiry officer. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 56/9459 on the route Puralimala Temple-Kottiyoor Temple-Iritty (via) Muringodi, Peravoor, Thundiyl, Kallady, Attanchery, Chanappara, Kelakam, Kakkayangad, Vilakkode with settled set of timings.

Item No. 35

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is highly ill served and there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. This authority also noticed the fact that a temporary permit was also granted on the same route to the S/C KL 8/X 510 as per the report of the enquiry officer after settling the timings as per U/S 87(1)c of KMV Act 1988. So this authority feels that granting of a fresh regular permit will be much beneficial for the travelling public from this ill served areas. Hence a fresh regular permit was **granted** to the S/C KL 08/X 510 on the route Adakkathode –Vellunni (via) Narikkadavu, Pookundu Colony, Valayanchal, Kunderi, Kanichar, Kelakam, Chettiamparamba with settled set of timings.

Item No. 36

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The total route length of the entire proposed route is 84 kms and out of which 4 kms lies within NH or notified area which within the permissible limit. There was no strong objections from the other operators of the proposed route and only timing objections were raised in the RTA meeting. More over as per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is passing through ill served areas like Vengad, Vengad Angadi, Kanad School etc where the stage carriage service at present is very less. So this authority feels that the introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students community. Motre over it is also noticed that a temporary permit was granted on the same route U/S 87(1)c of the KMV Act 1988 after settling timings. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** on the proposed route Kannur-Kuthuparamba-Parassinikadavu (via) Chala,Mambaram, Kinavakkal, Vengad Angadi, Keezhallur, Anjarakandy, Chakkarakal, Eachur,Valayal, Kanad School, Edayannur, Chalode, Mayyil, Mullakodi with settled set of timings.

Item No. 37

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the timing scheduled is shown that the vehicle is starting service from Kuttupuzha at 4.00 am in the morning and then conducting service concentrating mainly on the route portion Mayyil to Kannur HQ Hosp. The route portion Kuttupuzha is highly ill served hilly area where stage carriage service is not sufficient at present. So this authority feels that showing the starting time as 4.00 am from Kuttupuzha not at all beneficial for the travelling public. This authority thinks that the route portion Kuttupuzha is shown only to avoid the scheme violation. As per the report of the enquiry officer the route portion Poovathumkari to Korlad and Velluvayal rd. to Indira Nagar is virgin portion. Road fitness certificate not yet received from the PWD roads division. Hence this authority does not see any reason to grant the fresh permit. Hence this authority directing Secretary RTA to obtain road fitness certificate from the PWD roads division and also conduct a detailed study regarding the feasibility of offering more trips towards ill served areas like Kuttupuzha and place before next RTA. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 38

Heard, the counsel representing for the applicant. The total reoute length of the proposed route is 93.2 kms. So the overlapping on the NH or notified area is within the permissible limit. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through several ill served areas. There was no serious objection were raised before this authority during the meeting and only timing

objections were raised. So this authority thinks that grant of fresh regular permit on the proposed route will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students community. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Palayathuvalap –Pappinissery chungam-Payangadi-Mattool-Pulloopikadav-Taliparamba (via) Kolathuvayal,Irinavu road, Madakkara, Kacherithara,Cherukunnuthara, Mottammal, Kannapuram, Cherukunnu mission hosp., Chera, Vellikkel, Koovode, 7th mile, Vadakkancheri rd., Ayyankol, Bakkalam, Dharmasala, Anchampeedika, Parassinikadavu, Karingalkuzhi, Kolacheri mukku, Kambil, Chelerimukku, Panthottam subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to produce the vehicle within one month after changing the ownership.

Item No. 39

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through certain ill served route portion like Kannadiparamba-Valluvankadav and Morazha central to Ozhacrome where there is no adequate stage carriage service at present. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the students community. There was no serious objections were raised by the other operators on the same route. Only timing objection was raised by the other operators. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/K 3355 on the route Morazha Central –Valluvankadavu (via) Ozhacrome, Dharmasala, Parassinikadavu, Kambil,Chelerimukku subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011

Item No. 40

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the total route length of the proposed route is 78.3 kms. Overlapping on the NH or notified area is 3.7 kms which is permissible.The proposed route is starting service as per the report of the enquiry officer is at 5.05 am in the morning and halting at 11.14 pm at Chandanakampara. The main concentration of the applicant is to conduct service on the route portion Komakkar-Kannur HQ Hospital. This authority is of the opinion that starting from the hilly area at early morning and halt at late night will defeat the purpose of the grant of fresh regular permit. To avoid the scheme violation, the route length will increase and then after granting the permit the operator will not conduct the service on the early morning and late night service such a practice cannot be allowed. But the same time the applicant concentrating on the route portion Komakkari which is an ill served area. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 1250 on the route Kannur HQ Hosp.-Chandanakampara (via) Payyavoor, Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Chalode, Pavannoormotta,Mayyil, Kuttiattur Panchayath, Velluvayal, Komakkary, Vesalamukku, Koodali HS, Melechovva subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011

Item No. 41

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through the ill served areas like Panangattur, Vellavu, Ariyil etc. There were no serious objections from the other operators on the existing service operators. Only timing objections were raised by the other operators. So the introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students community. Applicant produced the records of S/C KL 13/J 1434 which as no valid permit at present. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 1434 on the route Taliparamba-Ariyil-Panangattur-Chember Engg. College (via) Karayathumchal, Chembanthotty, Chuzhali, Valakkai, Pulimparamba, Pekkattuvayal subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 42

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the major part of the proposed route is passing through ill served areas where the number of stage carriages is

negligible. No serious objection was raised by the other operators on the same route. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students community. Applicant produced the S/C KL 13/N 3380. A surrender application of the existing permit of S/C KL 13/N 3380 was submitted before the Secretary, RTA Kannur on 11.2.2015. Hence a fresh regular permit to the S/C KL 13/N 3380 **granted** on the route Vallayi-Thalassery (via) Pathipalam, Pathayakunnu, East Kadirur, Vettummal, Kadirur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 43

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the applicant is trying to concentrate only on the route portion Panoor-Thalassery. The route portion Panoor-Thalassery is well served with over saturated stage carriages operations. The same time the applicant deliberately avoiding service towards the ill served areas like Thrikkadaripoil and Kadavathur. Three trips are offered on the route portion Thalassery-Kuthuparamba which is a well served portion. The applicant offered single trip to Thrikkadaripoil and two trips are offered to Kadavathur where stage carriage operation is comparatively negligible at present. This authority is of the opinion that introduction of new trips towards over saturated area will lead to a unhealthy competition among the operators of the same route which will lead to accidents. So such a practice should be avoided in future. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to conduct an enquiry regarding the feasibility of offering more trips towards the ill served areas and place before next RTA. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 44

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the overlapping in the NH or notified area is within the permissible limit. On perusing the report furnished by the enquiry officer, this authority is of the opinion that the applicant is trying to concentrate on the route portion Kannur-Chakkarakkal-Mambaram. By perusing the timing furnished by the applicant on th other part of the proposed route, it is clearly evident that only a single late night timing was proposed towards Mattannur-Iritty-Peravoor. This authority feels that the timing proposed to these area is only avoid the scheme violation objection. More over late night operation towards Mattannur, Iritty, Peravoor is not beneficial for the travelling public. This authority is of the opinion that such a practice should be avoided. Introduction of new service on the route Kannur-Chakkarakkal-Mambaram will lead to an unhealthy competition among the operators of same route which will lead to accidents. This authority does not find any necessity for granting a fresh regular permit on an over saturated route . Hence the application for fresh regular permit **rejected**.

Item No. 45

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is inter district route. Out of the total route length 44.5 kms lies within the jurisdiction of RTA Wayanad. So the concurrence from the sister RTA, Wayanad is necessary. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to call concurrence from the sister RTA Wayanad and place in the next RTA meeting. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 46

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through several ill served areas which connecting with Taluk head quarters, Iritty. Stage carriage services towards Mattara, Nattial, Palapuzha, Kuttupuzha etc are negligible at present. So the introduction of new stage carriage service on the proposed route will be much beneficial for the travelling public including student community. There is no serious objection

was raised by other operators from the same route. Applicant produced the records of S/C KL 13/M 4399 on the basis of lease agreement. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/M 4399 on the route Iritty-Kottiyoor Temple-Thottipalam-Nattayil-Mattara (via) Vilakkode, Kakkayangad, Peravoor, Manathana, Haji road, Pallapuzha, Ulikkal, Kuthuparamba, Edoor, Mundayanparamba subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to endorse the lease in the registration certificate after obtaining NOC from the hypothecated firm if required.

Item No. 47

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through ill served remote areas like Puthur, Kovvayi, Puthiyapuzhakulangara where the stage carriage services are comparatively less at present. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the traveling public including students community from these ill served areas. There were no serious objections from other operators on the same route. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** on the route Puthurambalam –Payyannur-Kovvayi-Puthiyapuzhakkara (via) Kozhummal, Edatt, Payyannur Rly. Station, Kunhimangalam subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011 with a direction to produce the vehicle within a period of one month.

Item No. 48

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is inter district route. Out of the total route length 61.6 kms lies within the jurisdiction of RTA Kasaragod. So the concurrence from the sister RTA, Kasaragod is necessary. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to call concurrence from the sister RTA Kasaragod and place in the next RTA meeting. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 49

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through several ill served areas like Chempallykundu, Kanayi, Kovvayi where stage carriage service are comparatively less at present. There was no serious objection were raised before this authority during the RTA meeting. So this authority feels that introduction new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public from these interior remote areas. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 951 on the route Kovvayi-Payyannur-Payangadi (via) Payyannur Rly. Station, Edatt, Chemballickundu, Pilathara, Thottamkadavu, Kanayi subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 50

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is passing through well served sector. Introduction of new service on the well served sector will lead to an unhealthy competition among the operators on the same route. So this authority is also not find any reason for the grant of fresh regular permit on a well saturated route. More over the vehicle No. Shown in the application S/C KL 13/N 1020 is having a regular permit on the route Chittadi-Taliparamba-Parappa and valid upto 25.11.2018. So the withdrawal of stage carriage from a existing route like Parappa which is highly ill served will adversely affect the travelling public from that sector. Hence the application for fresh regular permit **rejected**.

Item No.51

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is passing through well served sector. Introduction of new service on the well served sector will lead to an unhealthy competition among the operators on the same route. So this authority is also not find any reason for the grant of fresh regular permit on a well saturated route. More over the vehicle No. Shown in the application S/C KL 59/G 8485 is having a regular permit on

the route Mayyil-Taliparamba-Chemperi and valid upto 10.12.2016. So the withdrawal of stage carriage from a existing route like Chemperi, Eruvessi which is highly ill served will adversely affect the travelling public from that sector. Hence the application for fresh regular permit **rejected**.

Item No. 52

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route is passing through certain ill served areas. No serious objections were raised before this authority except timing objections. The overlapping in the NH or notified area is also within the permissible limit. The introduction of new service will positively much beneficial for the travelling public including the student community. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/G 3061 on the route Kannur HQ Hosp. – Azheekkal (via) Moonunirath, Chal, Onden rd. Vayiparamba, Vankulathvayal, Poothappara, Alavil, Chalad, Valapattanam, Puthiyatheru, Panamkavu, Kallukettichira, Kunhipally, Kakkad, South Bazar subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 53

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route is passing through several ill served areas where stage carriage services are comparatively less at present. Introduction of new service in the proposed route will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students. There were no serious objections before this authority while considering the application. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/H 4619 on the route Kannur HQ Hosp.-Azhikkal Ferry (via) Kadappuram rd., Meenkunnu, Vayiparamba, Poothapara, Palliyammoola, Alavil, Vankulathvayal, Moonunirath, Puthiyatheru, Valapattanam subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 54

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The proposed route includes ill served route portion like Chalode-Irikkur-Sreekandapuram and Eachur-Chakkarakkal-Anjarakandy-Peralassery where direct stage carriage services are comparatively less at present. So this authority feels that introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students from these route portions. There was no serious objection from other operators of the same route. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/H 9866 on the route Chakkarakkal-Sreekandapuram (via) Moonuperiya, Mambaram, Bavode, Odakadavu, Pathiriyad, Eachur, Chalode, Irikkur, Mattannur, Kannur subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 55

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route mainly passing through the well served sector Kuthuparamba-Kannur. On perusing the enquiry report this authority feels that the showing the early morning and late night starting and halting trips towards Iritty and Peravoor is only a way to avoid the scheme violation. Starting from Iritty at 4.05 am and halt at 11.30 pm is not at all beneficial for the travelling public and more over the time available for the employees of the stage carriage for their rest also very less. Introduction of new service on the over saturated route portion Kuthuparamba-Kannur will lead unhealthy competition among the operators of the same route. So this authority does not find any reason to grant a new permit on such a well saturated route. Hence application for fresh regular permit hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 56

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer the proposed route mainly passing through the well served sector Kuthuparamba-Kannur. On perusing

the enquiry report this authority feels that the showing the early morning and late night starting and halting trips towards Iritty and Peravoor is only a way to avoid the scheme violation. Starting from Peravoor at 4.05 am and halt at 11.20 pm is not at all beneficial for the travelling public and more over the time available for the employees of the stage carriage for their rest also very less. Introduction of new service on the over saturated route portion Kuthuparamba-Kannur will lead unhealthy competition among the operators of the same route. So this authority does not find any reason to grant a new permit on such a well saturated route. Hence application for fresh regular permit hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 57

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the route portion Kannur to Thazhe chovva 5 kms lies in NH or notified area. The total route length of the entire proposed route is 89 kms. So the proposed route is exceeding the limit that can be overlap in the NH or notified area which is not permissible. Hence fresh permit application **rejected**.

Item No. 58

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the route portion Kannur to Thazhe chovva 5 kms lies in NH or notified area. The total route length of the entire proposed route is 89 kms. So the proposed route is exceeding the limit that can be overlap in the NH or notified area which is not permissible. Hence fresh permit application **rejected**.

Item No. 59

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the proposed route will connect ill served remote area like Santhigiri, Uliyil, Vendekumchal, Irattathode etc. where the stage carriage service at present is very rare with Iritty. So introduction of new service through this ill served areas are very much beneficial for the travelling public from these ill served areas. The applicant also produced the S/C KL 59/A 27 which have no valid regular permit at present. There was no serious objection were raised before this authority in the RTA meeting by any other operators of the same route. So this authority feels that there should be sufficient stage carriage service towards these ill served areas which should be beneficial for the public including students. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 59/A 27 on the route Iritty-Peratta-Sreekandapuram-Santhigiri (via) Vallithode, Kakkayangad, Thillangeri, Uliyil, Ulikkal, Thermala, Tirur, Kaniyarvayal, Irikkur, Padiyoor, Peravoor, Thondiyl, Erattathode subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 60

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the enquiry report of the field officer in detail this authority finds that the proposed route is passing through ill served areas like Kadamkode, Karikkankandychira, Pallipram, Kunhipally etc. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public from these ill served areas. The objection raised by the other operators are not sustainable. The very purpose of granting a new service is to reduce the travelling problems of general public from the ill served areas. So this authority does not find any reason not to consider the application for fresh permit on merit. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/M 2225 on the route Kannur HQ Hosp.-Iritty (via) Mattannur, CHM HSS Varam, Chalode, Eachur, Kadamkode, Karikkumkandichira, Pallipram, Kakkad, Kunhipally, Pallikunnu, South Bazar, Talap, Edachery subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 61

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer it is seen that only one single trip was offered to Peravoor and that was also at the early morning

5.20am. This authority is of the opinion that introducing a trip in early morning from Iritty to Peravoor not at all beneficial for the travelling public where more trips is necessary towards Peravoor which is a hilly area. The proposed route portion Iritty-Mattannur and Kuthuparamba-Thalassery are the routes with over saturated stage carriage operations. Introduction of new service on these well served route portion will certainly lead to an unhealthy competition among the operators from these over saturated route which will lead to accidents. So this authority does not see any reason to grant a fresh regular permit on an over saturated route. Hence application for fresh regular permit hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 62

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the enquiry report of the field officer, the proposed route is passing through several ill served areas like Chempery, Paisakkari, Payyavoor where stage carriage operations at present are not sufficient at present. Introduction of new service through these ill served routes will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the students community.

There is no scheme violation on the proposed route. No severe objections were raised before this authority during the RTA meeting also. Applicant produced the records of S/C KL 58/H 2324 which has no valid regular permit at present. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 58/H 2324 on the route Chemperi-Kottiyoor-Chandanakampara (via) Pooparamba, Payyavoor, Iritty, Peravoor, Paisakkari subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 63

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. The report of the enquiry officer shows that the proposed route is passing through remote ill served areas like Modappathur where only one stage carriage is conducting service at present. So introduction of more service through these ill served areas are much beneficial for the travelling public from these ill served areas. Applicant had offered only the starting and halting trip towards Thottummam which is ill served. The very purpose of grant a fresh permit is to reduce the travelling problems of general public from the ill served areas. On perusing the report this authority feels that sufficient trips are not offered towards Thottummam and Modappathur which are ill served areas and concentrating to the route portion Thalassery-Kuthuparamba which is the over saturated route portion with stage carriages within every two to three minutes. So this authority does not find any reason to consider the application on merit. Hence **rejected**.

Item No. 64

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. Authority perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail. It is seen that the proposed route is passing through the ill served areas like, Kelakam, Chingome, Charal etc where there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. So introduction of new service on the route proposed will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the students community. There is no overlapping on the NH or notified areas. Only timing objection was raised by the other operators from the same route. The applicant produced the records of S/C KL 13/M 3315 which has no valid regular permit at present. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/M 3315 on the route Charal-Kelakam (via) Angadikadavu, Mundayamparamba, Edoor, Madathil, Vallithode, Peravoor, Thondiylil, Kolakkad, Chungam subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 65

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer the entire proposed route is 72 kms and out of which Parakkadavu to Mundathode and Parakadavu to Nadapuram 8 kms, totally 10km lies within the jurisdiction of RTA Vadakara. More over Koluthayi to Narikkattumoola a distance of 3 kms is virgin portion. So Secretary RTA is directed to seek

concurrence from the sister RTA, Vadakara and also obtain road fitness certificate from the Executive Engineer, PWD and place the matter before next RTA meeting. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No. 66

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. This authority considered the report of the enquiry officer in detail. On perusing the report it is seen that the applicant is trying to concentrate only on the route portion Thalassery-Panoor where there is sufficient stage carriage service at present. More over applicant failed to offer any vehicle before this authority during the RTA meeting. The vehicle offer in the application is now having valid regular permit on the route Thalassery-Kuthuparamba which is valid upto 23.4.2016. Hence fresh permit application **rejected**.

Item No. 67

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. Applicant failed to offer any vehicle before this authority during the RTA meeting. More over the vehicle shown in the application S/C KL 58/A 6939 is having valid regular permit. For introducing a new permit withdrawal of existing permit from an ill served area cannot be allowed. By withdrawing of vehicle from a remote area will affect the travelling public from that area. Hence application for fresh regular permit **rejected**.

Item No. 68

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. Enquiry report shows that out of total route length 8 km lies within the jurisdiction of RTA Kozhikode which is coming within the general concurrence. Hence no need for seek concurrence from sister RTA. The proposed route is passing through various ill served areas like Vengathode, etc. where there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public from these areas. No serious objections were raised before this authority. Hence fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 58/C 9045 on the route Thalassery-Vengathode-Nadapuram (via) Manekkara, Koppalam, Madapeedika, Panoor, Kallikandy, C poiloor, Parakadavu, Perode subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 69

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer in detail it is seen that the proposed route is passing through interior ill served area where there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. So introduction of new services will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the students on the route. There were no serious objections from the other operators except timing objection. Applicant produced the record of S/C KL 10/P 5167 which has no valid permit. Hence a fresh regular permit **granted** to the S/C KL 10/P 5167 on the route Panoor-Chendayad-Kadavathur-Thalassery-Kuthuparamba (via) Pathipalam, Velliayi, Mavileri, Varapra, Kaivelikkal, Kattimukku, Palathayi, Chokli, Reg. Office subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 70

Heard the counsel representing for the applicant. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer, it is seen that the certain parts of the proposed route is highly ill served with less stage carriage service. Stage carriage service towards Karthikapuram and Manakkadavu are very rare at present. So introduction of new service on these ill served area will be much beneficial. The overlapping on the NH or notified area is within the permissible limit. Only timing objection was raised during the RTA meeting. The vehicle offered before this authority S/C KL 59/K 7873 now replaced with another

permit and now having valid permit. Hence fresh regular permit granted on the route Taliparamba –Cheekad (via) Oduvallythattu, Karuvanchal, Alakode, Karthikapuram, Manakadavu subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 71

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The proposed variation is only the extension of the existing route. There is no curtailment from the existing route. So the variation sought by the permit holder is without affecting the travelling public from the existing route portion. So this authority does not see any reason not to consider the application on merit. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/V 1080 operating on the route Thaliparamba—Pulooppikkadavu as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 72

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The proposed variation include both the curtailment of existing trip and also extension of the existing route portion. Severe objections were raised against the curtailment of existing trip from Manjodi to Panoor (via) Manekkara and deviating the existing trip through Koppalam. Considering the objections raised by the other operators and general public this authority feels that withdrawal of a vehicle from the existing route portion and introduce in a well served sector will adversely affect the travelling public from the route portion from where the vehicle is withdrawn. So such a practice cannot be encouraged in future also. Hence the application for variation of existing permit **rejected**.

Item No. 73

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority considered the application for variation in detail. The proposed variation is exclusively in NH or notified area and extension is in interior area. So withdrawal of stage carriages from NH will reduce the stage carriage population from the over saturated NH portion. Introduction new service in interior area will much beneficial for the travelling public where there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. No serious objections were raised before this authority. Hence variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/Z 101 operating on the route Pattuvam-Kalikkadavu Via Thaliparamba, Kannur as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 74

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority perused the report submitted by the enquiry officer in the above matter. From this report it was understood that there are sufficient number of stage carriage services are available towards the route portion Muthukuda. So curtailing a trip from Taliparamba to Muthukuda will not affect the travelling public from Muthukuda. More over the extension sought by the permit holder towards Iringal which is an ill served area, there is no sufficient stage carriage service at present. In such circumstance this authority feels that the variation sought by the permit holder will not affect the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/V 547 on the route Mottammal U.P. School- Thaliparamba—Vellavu-Muthukuda as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 75

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. On perusing the report submitted the enquiry officer a single trip is curtailed at the route portion Thalassery-Panoor. Thalassery-Panoor route portion is comparatively well served one. So the curtailment of a single trip will not affect the travelling public. The extension sought for is Mambaram-Keezhallur. The extension sought was through ill served area which will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the student community. There is no overlapping in the NH or notified area. There is no serious objections was

raised by the other operators from the same route. Hence variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 709 on the route Panoor-Anjarakandy-Chakkarakal as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 76

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The extension sought by the permit holder is from Kokkad to Thermala. General public appeared before this authority narrated the travelling problems at Thermala and also requested to consider the variation. More over the proposed curtailment is through Iritty-Peravoor which is comparetevely well served sector. So the curtailment will not affect the travelling public. But the same time the proposed extension will be much beneficial for the travelling public including students community. There is no overlapping in the NH or notified area. Hence regular variation to the S/C KL 58/B 2334 **granted** on the route Panoor-Ettupara as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 77

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. On perusing the report it is observed that the proposed variation sought by the permit holder is through the newly built Madakkara bridge. Introduction of new services through the newly built Madakkara bridge will be much beneficial for the public to reach the nearest town Kannur comparatively in earlier time. There is no variation in the NH portion. Hence a regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/AA 729 on the route Muttam – Kannur via payangadi Muttom broom, Mattool, Cherukunnu as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 78

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for variation in detail by this authority. The variation sought by the permit holder is only extension and no curtailment in the existing route. The extension sought by the permit holder is through the interior ill served area. The introduction of new service towards Adakkathode which is highly ill served hilly area will be much beneficial for the travelling public. There are no changes in NH or notified area. So this authority is of the opinion that the variation sought by the permit holder can be considered. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 58/56 on the routeShanthigiri-Kolakkad-Manikkadavu as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 79

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application submitted by the permit holder of a temporary variation of the existing permit through Koloth valayal – Paliyath valappu due to the closing of the Pappinissery railway gate for period of more than 1.5 years. The devioation through Kolathuvayal-Palayathuvalappu is highly beneficial for the travelling public to and from Payangadi, Cherukunnuthara etc. More over the deviation of existing permit through Madakkara bridge will be much beneficial and also less time consuming to reach at Kannur side from the travelling public of Mattool side. The deviation is through the newly constructed bridge at Madakkara. Hence temporary permit **granted** to the S/C KL 59/B 501 on the route Mattool-Kannur for a period of four months or till the completion of the work as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 80

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The variation sough by the permit holder is to curtail one round trip from

Taliparamba to Parassinikavadu and extension from Chapparapadavu to Therandy. There is no extension on the NH or notified area. This authority also considered that the Therandy is an ill served area where there is only one stage carriage permit at present. So introduction of new service will be much beneficial for the travelling public. The curtailed portion is Taliparamba to Parassinikadavu which is not at all affect the travelling public. So this authority find that the application can be consider on merit. Hence variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/K 2001 on the route Parassinikadvu-Eriyam-via Edakkom,Chapparapadu-Koovery-Thaliparamba-Trichambram-Muyyam as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 81

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for variation in detail by this authority and also considered the objection from the general public represented the Aduvappuram area. The variation sought by the permit holder is only extension of the existing route route. There is no curtailment which will adversely affect the existing travelling public.The extension sought by the applicant is through the ill served area. The objection raised by the general public will not sustain. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/L 300 on the route Sreekandapuram-Aduvappuramvayal-Kannur via Irikkur,Chalode as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 82

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The application for variation perused in detail by this authority. The curtailment sought by the permit holder is two trips to Payyavoor to Kunnathur. Objection was raised before this authority that the curtailment of morning trip will adversely affect the travelling public especially students community. The withdrawal of service from the existing service will be huge hardship for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** without changing the morning trip to Sreekandapuram at 9.15 am to the S/C KL 59/G 1712 on the route Kanjrakolly to Sreekandapuram subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 83

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority perused the application for regular variation and it is found that variation sought was only the extension of the existing route and there is no curtailment of the existing route. So this authority is of the opinion that the variation will not affect the travelling public. More over extension sought by the permit holder was towards Vathilmada from Payyavoor. The extension sought area was ill served with less stage carriage service at present. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/G 1730 on the route Payyavoor-Thaliparamba-Iritty-ChemperiviaSreekandapuram-Adichery,Chundaparamba,Kavumbai,Ulikkal ,Irikkur as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 84

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation filed by the permit holder. The proposed variation sought by the permit holder was only extension of the existing route. There is no curtailment of existing route portion. More over there is no overlapping on the NH or notified area. Since the variation is only extension there will not be any harm to the exiting travelling public. The route portion Kolayad, Nedupoil are less in stage carriage operation at present. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 58/B 2255 on the route

Paduvilakavu-Kannur –Manathvady as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 85

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Regular variation sought by the permit holder is the temporary variation of existing permit through the Madakkara bridge which is newly built. Introduction of service through the newly constructed bridge will positively much helpful for the travelling public to reach Kannur side from Mattool, Payangadi side. Hence the regular variation of permit **granted** to the S/C KL 59/B 877 on the route Kannur-Payyannur for a period of 4 months subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 86

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Regular variation sought by the permit holder is the temporary variation of existing permit through the Madakkara bridge which is newly built. Introduction of service through the newly constructed bridge will positively much helpful for the travelling public to reach Kannur side from Mattool, Payangadi side. More over the deviation of existing route through Kolathuvayal, Palayathuvalappu due to the closing of the Pappinissery railway bridge for a period of more than one year will be much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence variation of temporary permit for a period of 4 months or till the completion of the work **granted** to the S/C KL 13/U 28 on the route Mattool-Kannur via Payangadi,Cherukunnu subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 87

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer on the application for regular permit variation. The proposed variation is the extension of the existing route portion from Payyannur to Pulingome (via) Olayambadi. At present only one stage carriage is conducting service on the proposed route portion. So the extension will be much beneficial for the travelling public from that area. The curtailment is from Palayathupalappa to Payyannur which is comparatively well served sector. So curtailment will not affect the travelling public. There is no additional overlapping after variation on the existing route. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/N 2131 on the route Palaiyathuvalappu to Kadankunnu via Cherukunnu thara-Payangadi-Pilathara-Mathamangalam-Olayambadi as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 88

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation and also considered the report submitted by the enquiry officer. The proposed extension from Payancheri mukku to Kayapanachi via Punnad is a new trip, introduction of new trip will be much beneficial for the travelling public from that area. The curtailment sought by the permit holder Haji road to Palappuzha which is also very ill severed portion. So withdrawal of service from the ill served portion will adversely affect the travelling public from that area. So regular extension **granted** retaining trip from Haji road to Palappuzha to the S/C KL 58/A 729 on the route Paripputhode-Koottupuzha-Puralimala via Peravoor, Iritty, Keezhpally, Vallithode, Palappuzha subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 89

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the regular permit variation application submitted by the permit holder and also considered the report of the enquiry officer by this authority. The variation sought by the permit holder is the curtailment of one round trip from Thalassery to Kannur. The proposed curtailment is on the well served route portion. So the curtailment will not affect the travelling public. Hence the regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL

13/T 2327 on the route Arivilanhapoi-Thalassery as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 90

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. As per the report of the enquiry officer the vehicle is now conducting service as per D3/875/STA. But the permit holder is not in a position to maintain the time schedule 2.35 which is passing time at Kadukkaram. It is also noticed that the crew of the vehicle is not getting sufficient time for their lunch and their rest. There is no over lapping in NH or notified area after variation. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 59/F 234 on the route Kadaukkaram—Parassinikadavu-Eriyam as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 91

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail. The proposed extension is on the route portion Anjrakandy to Vengad via Keezhalore and a morning trip from Vengad to Kuthuparamba. The extension sought area is highly ill served and introduction of new trips are very beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 58/K 239 on the route Kuthuparamba-Kannur via Pathiriyad,Anjarakandy,Chakkarakkal as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 92

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The proposed variation sought by the permit hold is the extension from Manhakanhiram to Mutharipeedika via Chendayad, Varapara so as to provide 3 single trips via Manhakanhiram, Chendayad, Varapara, Mutharipeedika towards Panoor and advancing the halting place to Chandayad, without any curtailment. So the variation will not affect the travelling public from the existing route. The proposed variation is not overlap in the NH or notified area. Hence regular variation of permit **granted** to the S/C KL 13/K 1603 on the route Cheruvanchery-Thalassery(Via) Koppalam- Panoor-Valliyayi as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 93

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. On perusing the report of the enquiry officer, it is understood that the proposed variation is the only extension of the existing route from Kolmotta to Dharmasala and change of halting place from Parassinikadavu to Dharmasala. There is no curtailment which will affect the travelling public from the existing route portion. The extension will surely beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/J 3434 on the route portion Parassinikkadavu Puthiyangadi Via Kolmotta-Kannapilavu-Trichambaram-Taliparamba- Ezhom-Payangadi as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 94

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application in detail by this authority. Permit holder sought the variation curtailment of one trip from Kuthuparamb to Valiya velicham. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed curtailment is from the well served portion. So the curtailment will not affect the travelling public. Hence regular variation of permit to the S/C KL 58/B-2433[replaced by KL 59/C-3600] on the route Valiyavelicham – Kannur **granted** as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 95

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The proposed variation is the deviation of one single trip by adding with the existing one through the Madakkara bridge. Enquiry officer reported that the proposed deviation is through a newly constructed bridge and introduction of new trip will be much beneficial for the travelling public from Mattool side to reach Kannur with a lesser time. Hence temporary variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/Y 4266 on the route Matool - Kannur (Via) Payangdy as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 96

Applicant was absent. Hence adjourned.

Item No. 97

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The application for the regular variation perused by this authority in detail. The variation sought by the permit holder was to curtailment of one single trip from Thalassery to Anjarakandy. The withdrawal of service from a well served sector will not affect the traveling public. The route portion Thalassery to Anjarakandy is well served portion. So this authority does not find any reason not consider the application on merit. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 18/G 2565 on the route Thottilppalam-Anjarakkandy [Via]Kuttyadi,Nadapuram, Peringathur, Manjodi as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 98

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation submitted by the permit holder. The proposed variation was to extend the 1 km from Padappayangad to Madakkad and Padappayangad to Therthalli. The proposed extension will be through the hilly area like Therthalli which is ill served at present. Hence introduction of new trip will be much beneficial for the travelling public including the students community. The proposed curtailment is from Madakkad to Taliparamba which is within the comparatively well served sector. So the withdrawal from the proposed portion will not affect the travelling public. There is on overlapping in NH after the variation. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 59/E 4777 on the route Cherupuzha-Kannur Via Therthally-Alakode-Taliparamba as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 99

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application submitted by the permit holder. The variation sought by the permit holder is to change the halting place from Valathode to Karikottakari. There is no curtailment of trips. Since Karikottakari is a ill served area with less stage carriage service at present, introduction of a night trip towards Karikottakari will be much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence the regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/T 3288 on the route Valathode-Mangoottam Via Iritty, Peravoor as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 100

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application submitted by the permit holder and also considered the report of the enquiry officer in detail. The variation sought by the permit holder is to extent the route portion from Mannar to Irikkur via Mamanam temple[five round trips]. There is no curtailment of existing trips. More over the introduction of new trips to Mamanam Temple will be much beneficial for the pilgrims. So this authority is of the opinion that such a variation should be considered in merit. Hence regular variation **granted** to the KL 58/G 3342 on the route Mannur-Mattannur -Irikkur-Palpakari (Via) Maruthai, Naikalipalam, Kolappa, Kiliyangad

as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 101

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority perused the report of the enquiry officer and also considered the variation sought by the permit holder. The variation sought by the permit holder is only the extension of the existing route from Thiruvattoor to Thottikkal. As per the report of the field officer there is no curtailment of existing route portion. The extension from Thiruvattoor to Thottikkal will be much beneficial for the traveling public from the extended portion. The other variation sought by the permit holder is to re arrange the trips. So there is no harm for the travelling public from the existing route portion. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/T 7225 on the route Kannur NBS Thiruvattoor Via Pacheni-Taliparamba, Chudala as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 102

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This matter was considered by this authority in the RTA meeting held on 8.8.2014 and adjourned with a direction to Secretary RTA to conduct a detailed study regarding the consequence of the variation sought by the permit holder. This authority perused the application for regular variation of the existing permit and also the report submitted by the enquiry officer in detail. It is reported that the existing and new proposal minimum one trip is through the route portion Thenam. So even if the variation is granted it will not affect the travelling public from Thenam. This authority feels that the objection raised by the general public is not sustainable. There is no overlapping in NH or notified area after the variation. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/L 6949 on the route Perumbadavu-Payyannur-Odamukku-Olayambadi (Via)Thennam, Eriyam, Kannangadu, Peruvamba as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 103

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation submitted by the permit holder and also the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. On perusal it is understood that the variation sought by the permit holder is only the extension from Chempanthotty to Chemperi Engg. College and also re arrange the existing trips without curtailment. There no part which overlaps in NH or notified area after the variation sought by the permit holder. The introduction of new trip to Chemperi Engg. College in the morning trip will be much beneficial for the traveling public especially to the students. Since there is no curtailment of the existing route portion the variation sought by the permit holder will not affect the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/Q 7115 on the route Naduvil - Taliparamba - Mayyil (Via) Chempanthotti, Pallom, Thoppilayi, Niduvallur, Valakai, Chuzhali Temple, Chuzhali, Nediyanaga, Sk. Puram, Kaniyarvayal, Malappattam, Ettayar, Chengalayi, Nellikunnu Harijan Colony as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 104

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The matter was considered by this authority in its meeting held on 8.8.2014 and directed MVI(MES) to conduct a detailed study regarding the proposed variation. This authority studied the report submitted by the enquiry officer in detail. From the report of the enquiry officer this authority feels that the proposed curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public especially change of halting place from Parassinikadavu. The halting at Parassinikadavu and starting in the early morning from Parassinikadavu is much beneficial for the travelling public who reaches at Parassinikadavu Temple. Hence the application for regular variation is hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 105

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application submitted by the permit holder and also the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The proposed variation sought by the permit holder is only the extension of existing route portion and there is no curtailment. From the report of the enquiry officer it is evident that the proposed extension is towards the ill served area. There is no overlapping in NH or notified area after variation. The proposed extension is much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence the regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL KL 13/L 5961 on the route Kuttiattoor Panchayath-Kannur HQ Hosp. as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 106

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation of existing permit and also considered the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The proposed variation sought by the permit holder is to extent one round trip from Peralassery to Kuthuparamba. There is no overlapping on the NH or notified area after the extension sought by the permit holder. It is reported that the proposed extension will be beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 59/A 6676 on the route Chakkarakkal-Arangattery Via Vellachal,Peralassery,Kannur Hq Hospital-Chala- Mambram-Kuthuparamba as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 107

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation in detail by this authority and also considered the report submitted by the enquiry officer. The route portion of the entire route Kannur to Pilathara is in NH or notified area. The proposed variation includes variation in NH sector also. Any variation or modification in the NH sector will be the violation of Clouse 18 of the Notification No. 5651/B2/2009/Trans which states that the right to operate any class of service other than ordinary service in the State of Kerala to increase the trip shall be reserved exclusively with State Transport Authority. So this authority does not see any reason to consider the application for variation. Hence **rejected**

Item No. 108

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation in detail by this authority and also considered the report submitted by the enquiry officer. The route portion of the entire route Kannur to Pilathara is in NH or notified area. The proposed variation includes variation in NH sector also. Any variation or modification in the NH sector will be the violation of Clouse 18 of the Notification No. 5651/B2/2009/Trans which states that the right to operate any class of service other than ordinary service in the State of Kerala to increase the trip shall be reserved exclusively with State Transport Authority. So this authority does not see any reason to consider the application for variation. Hence **rejected**

Item No. 109

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority considered the application for variation regular permit in detail. The permit holder sought for the variation for extension from the existing route from Keezhallur to Vengad Angadi and reduce one trip from Keezhallur to Mattannur. By considering the report of the enquiry office it is evident that the proposed extension is through a very ill served area and the same time the trip reduced from a comparatively well served sector. This authority is of the opinion that withdrawal of a trip from the well served sector will not affect the travelling public and same time introduction of new service towards the ill served area will be much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 58/G 5149 on the

route Mattannur - Kannur- Chalod (Via) Keezhallur, Anjarakkandy, Chakkarakkal, Eachur, Muzhapala, Panayathamparamaba as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 110

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the Judgement of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala vide WPC No. 30948/2012 and also considered the application for regular variation in detail by this authority. In the light of the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPC No. 30948/2012 regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 60 6599 on the route kannur-Payyannur-Thimiri via Thaliparamba-kanool-Peringome as LSOS as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 111

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The proposed variation is the deviation of one single trip by adding with the existing one through the Madakkara bridge. Enquiry officer reported that the proposed deviation is through a newly constructed bridge and introduction of new trip will be much beneficial for the travelling public from Mattool side to reach Kannur with a lesser time. Hence temporary variation **granted** to the S/C KL 13/U 5205 on the route Kannur - Mattool-Payyannur (Via) Payannur, Neerozhukumchal, Beach Road as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 112

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The proposed variation includes both the extension from Keezhallur to Kuthuparaba. The extended portion is highly ill served one. So introduction of new service will be beneficial for the travelling public from that area. The variation includes reduction one round trip from Anjarakandy to Kannur (via) Eachur. The trip reduced in a well served portion. So this authority considered the application on merit and regular variation of permit to the S/C KL 13/S 8989 on the route Kuthuparamba to Manakkayi **granted** as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 113

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. This authority considered the application in detail. On perusing the application it is under stood that the vehicle shown S/C KL 10/T 9786 is not operating at present. The statutory period for the replacement of the vehicle is also over. The permit holder not complied to replace the permit with another vehicle within the stipulated time. Hence this permit itself is null and void. Hence this authority does not find any reason to consider the application on merit. Hence the application for regular variation **rejected** and directed to Secretary RTA to issue notice to the permit holder to show cause the reason not to revoke the permit.

Item No. 114

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application for regular variation of permit by this authority. Serious objection was raised before this authority against the curtailment of trip from Chembilode. Considering the report of the field officer, this authority is of the opinion that the curtailment of the route from Chembilode will adversely affect the travelling public. Hence the application for regular variation **rejected**.

Item No. 115

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application in detail by this authority. On perusal it is found that the proposed extension is through the interior area. The curtailed area is well served sector. Hence the withdrawal of service from a well served portion and

introduction of service through a interior area will be much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 59/H 9565 on the route Palakkode-Kunnaru-Kannur as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 116

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. On perusing the report of the field officer it is seen that the proposed variation sought by the permit holder is the extension from Payyannur to Payyannur Rly station. The introduction of new trip in the morning will be much beneficial for the travelling public towards the Payyannur Rly station. Hence the proposed variation is much beneficial for the travelling public. Hence regular variation **granted** to the S/C KL 59/D 7567 on the route Perumpadavu-Payyannur as applied for subject to the settlement of timing in accordance to the Order No. D3/875/STA/2005 dt. 8.11.2011.

Item No. 117

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. KSRTC informed this authority that they had already taken over the permit and now KSRTC is now conducting service on the proposed route. Hence application for regular variation is **adjourned** till the final disposal of all the cases before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala.

Item No. 118

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the direction of Hon'ble STAT in MVA No. 283/2014 and also considered the report of the enquiry officer in detail by this authority. The proposed variation sought by the permit holder is at early morning and late night which will adversely affect the travelling public. Hence application for regular variation is hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 119

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Perused the application submitted by the permit holder in detail by this authority. Severe objections were raised by the general public before this authority against the grant of regular variation sought by the permit holder. The curtailment of trip towards Aduvappuram will adversely affect the travelling public. This authority is also of the opinion that the objection raised by the general public is a genuine one. Hence application for regular variation is hereby **rejected**.

Item No. 120

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. KSRTC informed that they are taking over the permit of KL 5/F 3607 on the route Kayamkulam-Udayagiri. Hence the application for renewal of permit **adjourned** till the disposal of the pending case before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala and also directed to Secretary RTA, Kannur to offer specific remarks on the application.

Item No. 121

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The permit validity of S/C KL 13/Q 3510 expired on 16.11.2014 and application for renewal was submitted on 11.12.2014. There is a delay for filing the renewal application in time. Hence renewal of existing permit of S/C KL 13/Q 3510 on the route Kannur –Puthiyangadi **granted** subjected to the condition to remit a compounding fee of Rs . 5000/-

Item No. 122

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The application for renewal and replacement of S/C KL 13/J 5050 operating on the route Kannur-Puthiyangadi **granted**.

Item No. 123

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. The new vehicle offered by the permit holder is S/C KL 13/L 111 which is 2003 model vehicle and the existing permit holding vehicle is KL 58/C 3222 which is 2009 model vehicle. So replacing the permit to KL 13/L 111 means to replace the permit to a belated model vehicle. Notice is already issued to the show cause why permit grant shall not be revoked no reply was furnished by the permit holder. Hence the grant of regular permit is hereby **revoked**

Item No. 124

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 13/Q 115 on the route Ezhimala Naval Academy Gate- Kannur Via Muttam, Payangadi, Cherukunnuthara **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 125

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 58/C 4757 on the route Aralam -Kozhikkode [Via]Iritty,Thalassery,Kannur,Vadakara **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 126

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 13/U 3733 on the route Kannur-Koothuparamba-Kannur-Thalassery[via]Chala, Kadachira, Mambaram, Thazhechova, Dharmadam- **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 127

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 58/D 2757 on the route Kuthuparamba-Kozhikode As Lsos **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 128

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 58/A 5339 on the route Kannur - Iritty [Via] Chala, Mambaram, Kuthuparamba, Uruvachal, Sivapuram, Maloor, Peravoor **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 129

Heard the counsel representing for the permit holder. Transfer of permit(death) in respect of S/C KL 13 R 9009 on the route Kanur-Thalassery-Kuthuparamba **granted** as applied for.

Item No. 130(1)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/A 1515 on the route Odanthode-Thalassery Via Iritty-Peravoor-Malur- Sivapuram and Kuthuparamba

Item No. 130(2)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13V 2233 permitted to operate on the route Kannur—Kottiyoor- Pazhassi Project Via Mattannur, Iritty as LSOS

Item No. 130(3)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58 A 1666 operates on the route Kottiyoor Temple—Thalassery Via Peravoor

Item No. 130 (4)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13V 225 operates on the route Thaliparamba- Aralam Via Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Iritty, Madathil, Koramukku, Chingakundam, and Payam, Thaliparamba, Partiyaram MC

Item No. 130 (5)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL59 A 371 operates on the route Kappimala—Thaliparamba, Via Ottathai, Alakode, Karuvanchal, Chandakundu and Poovam

Item No. 130 (6)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL58 B 2255 operates on the route Paduvilakkavu-Kannur -Mananthavady Via Kuthuparamba, Nidumpoyil

Item No. 130 (7)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 59/A 1323 operates on the route Parassinikkadavu—Kannur Via Dharmasala, Arimbra, Mayyil, Muiyam, Trichambaram, Thaliparamba

Item No. 130 (8)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/J-1004 operates on the route Pavannurmotta—Kannur HQ Hospital, Via Kuttiyatoor Panchayath Office

Item No. 130 (9)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/K 2001 operates on the route Parassinikkadavu- Eriyam Via Kolmotta, Bavuparamba, Muiyam, Trichambaram, Thaliparamba, Poovam, Kooveri, Chapparappadavand Edakkom

Item No. 130 (10)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/ Q 477 operates on the route Attadappa- Muchilottukavu-Thalassery Via Perikkad-Thazhechovva, Kannur NBS-Edakkad

Item No. 130 (11)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/Y 1899 operates on the route Kavinmunambu-Kannur Via Thaliparamba, Mulool, Pariyaram, M.C, Payangadi and Ezhome

Item No. 130 (12)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/G 221 operates on the route Payannur—Kozhikode as LSOS Via Thaliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery , Quilandy

Item No. 130 (13)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/ P 979 operates on the route Kolathuparamba—Peravoor Via Kuthuparamba-Thalassery ,Mooriyad, Uruvachal, Sivapuram, Maloor, Tholambra

Item No. 130 (14)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/K 2411 operates on the route Ambalappara—Korom North Via Kakkampara, Palakkode, Koranmaster mill , Kurisumukku, Ramanthaly Centre and Payyannur

Item No. 130 (15)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 45/2244 operates on the route Kottiyoor- Iritty Via Peravoor, Nedumpoyil, Kuthuparamba, Thalassery and Mattannur as LSOS

Item No. 130 (16)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/H 1703 operates on the route Kandakkai-Kannur HQ Hospital Via Karinkalkuzhi, Kambil

Item No. 130 (17)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/678 operates on the route Kallukettuchira-Kannur HQ Hospital Via Pulooppikkadavu, Kakkad and south Bazar

Item No. 130 (18)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58 E 1801 operates on the route Parappram-- Thottipalam

Item No. 130 (19)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 60 C 550 operate on the route Thayeni-Pariyaram M.C, Thaliparamba Via Pulingome, Cherupuzha, Ponnampara, Olayambadi, M.M. BVazar

Item No. 130 (20)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL-13-J 1777 operates on the route Kavinmunambu—Puthiyangadi Via Payangadi , Thaliparamba, Ezhome

Item No. 130 (21)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58 E 1530 operates on the route Kannur Railway Station-KoothuparambaVia Melechova, Kudukkimotta, Mattannur and Iritty as LSOS

Item No. 130 (22)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 11-AG 11 operates on the route Kottiyoor Temple—Kozhikode as LSOS Via Peravoor, Nidumpoyil, Koothuparamba, Knnur, Vadakara and Quilandy

Item No. 130 (23)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58 L 189 operates on the route Vengad—Kozhikode as (LSOS)

Item No. 130 (24)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C

KL-13/G 1730 operates on the route Payyavoor-Thaliparamba -Iritty- Chemberi Via Sreekandapuram, Aicherry, Chundaparamba, Kavumbai, Ulikkal & Irikkur

Item No. 130 (25)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL-58 /G 1222 operates on the route Kuthuparamba- Kannur Railway station, via Pathiriyad, Anjarakandy, Eachur, and Kannur NBS

Item No. 130 (26)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/S 4059 operate on the route Chandanakampara--Kannur (Via) Thaliparamba

Item No. 130 (27)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/M-3434 operate on the route Chandanakkampara-Kozhikode-[Via]- Mattara,Iritty,Thalassery

Item No. 130 (28)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Perused the application in detail by this authority. On perusing the application it is found that the permit less certificate was issued to the S/C KL 13/Q 3507 to RTO Kozhikode without insisting to surrender the existing permit. The permit holder failed to replace the vehicle within the stipulated period ie., 18.7.2014. Hence the permit itself will be null and void after 18.7.2014. Since there is no valid permit there is no reason to consider the transfer of permit application on merit. Hence the application for transfer of permit **rejected**.

Item No. 130 (29)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/D 1611[Replaced by KL 9/AA-2957) to operate on the route Chavassery -Manakkayi Via Pariyaram Mattanur Uruvachal And Kayani

Item No. 130 (30)

Applicant absent. Hence adjourned.

Item No. 130 (31)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/B-4429 to operate on the route Kottiyoor - Thalassery - Kannur (Via) Peravoor, Nidumpoyil, and Koothuparamba

Item No. 130 (32)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 13/N-2526 to operate on the route Taliparamba-Taliparamba Via Trichambaram Ambalam Muiyam,Bavuparamba, Kolmotta, Parassinikadavu Dharmasala And Kannur NBS

Item No. 130 (33)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/A-4689 to operate on the route Mattannur- Kannur - Kozhikode as Lsos Via. Kuthuparamba, Mambaram, Kannur Thalassery, Vadakara, Quilandy, Vadakara, Thalassery, Kadirur and Kuthuparamba

Item No. 130 (34)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C

KL-58-B-3789 to operate on the route Panoor- Panoor (Via) Thalassery,Kuthuparamba, Edayil Peedika

Item No. 130 (35)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/U-3090 to operate on the route Vanchiyam-Kannur (Via) Chandanakkampara, Sreekandapuram, Thaliparamba.

Item No. 130 (36)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/K-2777 to operate on the route Manakadavu-Payyannur, Via Alakkode, Thaliparamba, Kannur, Payyannur, as LSOS.

Item No. 130 (37)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/K-2608 to operate on the route Puthiyapuzhakkara-Ettikulam-Ambalapara- [Via] Kunhimangalam, Payyanur, Palakkode.

Item No. 130 (38)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/M-2846 to operate on the route Anjarakandy-Thalassery(Via)Mambaram, Pinarayi .

Item No. 130 (39)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/G-2952 to operate on the route Pulingom - Kozhikode (Via) Payyannur, Thaliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery (OIs)

Item No. 130 (40)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 59/2799 to operate on the route Mattool- Payyannur Via Payangadi, Kannur, Thaliparamba As Lsos.

Item No. 130 (41)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 58/K-3335 to operate on the route Thalassery - Kottiyoor Temple (Via) Kuthuparamba, Mattannur, Iritty, Peravoor, Thundi, Manathana, Kelakam As Lsos.

Item No. 130 (42)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 13/K-4109 to operate on the route Irikkur - Keecheri (Via) Mattannur, Ayyalloor, Sivapuram, Naduvanad, 21 Mile, Maruthai, Kodolipram, Naykalipalam.

Item No. 130 (43)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 13/U-3225 to operate on the route Kuttiyatoor Panchayath - Kannur Hq Hospital (Via) Munderimotta, Valiyannur.

Item No. 130 (44)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/c KL 13/R-2527 to operate on the route Kadambur H.S. - Thalassery (Via) Edakkad, Thottada

Item No. 130 (45)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of The S/C KL 58 J 7009 is operating on the route Kelakam - Kannur (Via) Peravoor, Iritty, Mattannur, Chalode As Lsos

Item No. 130 (46)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of The S/C KL 13 K 5084 is operating on the route Koodali To Kannur - Hq Hospital Via Thattiyode Chakkarakal Kapad Thazhechovva

Item No. 130 (47)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13 W 6327 is operating on the route Kottiyur Temple Payyanur Via Peravur-Iritty-Mattannur Kannur -Taliparamba As LSOS

Item No. 130 (48)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59 B 7447 is operating on the route Mukkood - Cherukunnu Thara (Via) Payyannur, Mathil, Aravanchal, Porkkunnu, Mathamangalam, Pilathara And Payangadi

Item No. 130 (49)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13 T 6869 is operating on the route Kannur-Kuthuparamaba [Via] Chala,Kadachira

Item No. 130 (50)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59 A 5749 is operating on the route Vaduvankulam-Kannur Hq Hospital

Item No. 130 (51)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/S 5335 is operating on the route Muthukuda-Payangadi (via)Thaliparamba,Dharmasala,and Anjampeedika

Item No. 130 (52)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 58 D 5857 is operating on the route Anjarakandy-Mambaram-Koothuparamba-Kannur

Item No. 130 (53)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 59/A 6900 is operating on the route Mattannur - Thalassery - Thottipalam (Via) Iritty, Koottupuzha As Lsos

Item No. 130 (54)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/J 6878 is operating on the route Central Valliyayi--Thalassery (Via) Pathipalam, Marapalam, Cheruvanchery, Athiyarkavu, Valliyayi, Chendayad, Mutharipeedika, Panoor And Koppalam

Item No. 130 (55)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 58 B 6676 is operating on the route Kuttiattoor Panhayath-Kannur HQ Hosp. [Via]Chekkikulam,Edayil

Peedika, Valiyanoor

Item No. 130 (56)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 59/D 5059 is operating on the route Chooliyad-Kannur(via) Mamanam Temple, Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Chalode

Item No. 130 (57)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/J 5454 is operating on the route Parassinikkadavu-Elayavoor Temple Viathazhe Chovva,Kannur Nbs,Dharmasala

Item No. 130 (58)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 58/E 5654 is operating on the route Kannur-Kozhichal(Via) Payangadi, Hanumarambalam, Cherukunnu

Item No. 130 (59)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 58/M 7020 is operating on the route Anjarakandy-Thalassery-Thottilpalam(via) Chokli, Peringathur, Nadapuram

Item No. 130 (60)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 59/B 5353 is operating on the route Cheruvanchery-Kannur (via)Mooriyad, Goopimukku, Kuthuparamba, Mambaram, Vengad And Eachur

Item No. 130 (61)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 59/B 5335 is operating on the route Kannur - Thalassery Via. Eachur, Anjarakandy, Pathiriyad, Oorppally And Kuthuparamba

Item No. 130 (62)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/S 5274 is operating on the route Neerkkadavu- Thalassery Via. Aramkottam, Palliyamoola, Kannur Nbs, Thottada, Edakkad

Item No. 130 (63)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/AB 6030 is operating on the route Kannur-Kuthuparamba

Item No. 130 (64)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/AA 6399 is operating on the route Panoor-Payyannur (via) Koppalam,Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Kannur, Taliparamba

Item No. 130 (65)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 58/A 5220 is operating on the route Edayar-Aryangad-Alachery

Item No. 130 (66)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of KL 13/J 5234 is operating on the routeAzhikkal Light House-Kannur HQ Hosp. (via) Monnunirtah,

Item No. 130 (67)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/ C 7567 permitted to operate on the route route Kandakkai-Kannur HQ Hosp. (via) Kattampally, Puthiyatheru

Item No. 130 (68)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/AC 8335 permitted to operate on the route Kannur-Kuthuparamba [via]chala,Mambaram

Item No. 130 (69)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/D 8434 permitted to operate on the Chedikulam-Thalassery (Via)Charal, Iritty, Kuthuparamba as LSOS

Item No. 130 (70)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/M 8080 permitted to operate on theEdayar-Alachery-Thalassery (VIA) Kolayad

Item No. 130 (71)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/H 8921 Kuttichiara-Kannur HQ Hosp. (via) Karinakkuzhi, Kambil, Puthiyatheru

Item No. 130 (72)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/S 7751 permitted to operate on the Chalode-Kannur (via) Panayathamparamba, Anjarakandy, Eachur

Item No. 130 (73)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/W 9718 permitted to operate on the Chandanakampara-Kozhikode as LSOS

Item No. 130 (74)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/N 8010 permitted to operate on the Ambalakandy-Thalassery (via) Edoor, Veerpad, Iritty Mattannur, Kuthuparamba as LSOS

Item No. 130 (75)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/N 8010 permitted to operate on the Peravoor - Kozhikode Via. Malloor, Tellicherry and Kannur as LSOS

Item No. 130 (76)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/G 9638 permitted to operate on the Thayeni-Payyannur (VIA) Pulingome, Cherupuzha, Kothayimukku

Item No. 130 (77)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/A 7776 permitted to operate on the Pulingome-Chedikulam (via) Mathamangalam, Taliparamba, Iritty, Irikkur

Item No. 130 (78)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 33/7515 permitted to operate on the Kannur-Thirunelli Temple (via) Kadachira, Nedumpoil, Mananthavady

Item No. 130 (79)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/U 7756 permitted to operate on the Kannur-Thirunelli Temple (via) Kadachira, Nedumpoil, Mananthavady

Item No. 130 (80)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/L 9503 permitted to operate on the Azheekkal –Kannur HQ Hosp. [via] Valapattanam, Moonunirath

Item No. 130 (81)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/AB 8778 permitted to operate on the Kuthuparamba-Kannur (via) Anjarakandy, Eachur

Item No. 130 (82)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/H 7943 permitted to operate Munderimotta-Kannur HQ Hosp.

Item No. 130 (83)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/AA 8699 permitted to operate on Kannur-Kozhikode as Lsos(via)Thalassery, Kuthuparamba, ChalaVadakara, Quilandy

Item No. 130 (84)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59/B 8970 permitted to operate onThermala-Payyavoor (via) Kokkad, Ulikkal, Kanhileri, Kaniyar Vayal, Sreekandapuram, Peravoor, Urathru, Irikkur, Icheri

Item No. 130 (85)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/V 9007 permitted to operate on Payyannur-Kozhikode(via) Taliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery AS LSOS

Item No. 130 (86)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 59 A 9837 permitted to operate on Puthiyangadi- Ettikkulam [via]payangadi Hanumarambalam, Payyannur, Kunnath Theru, Kakkampara

Item No. 130 (87)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C

KL 58/N 7576 permitted to operate on Kannur-Charal-Payyannur-Vaniyapparathattu

Item No. 130 (88)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/P 9549 permitted to operate on Pulluppikadavu – Kannur HQ Hosp. (via) Kakkad

Item No. 130 (89)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/X 8335 permitted to operate Keezhathur-Kannur-Arangatteriyil (via) Kuthuparamba, Mambaram

Item No. 130 (90)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 11/AG 8649 permitted to operate Thalassery-Randamkadavu

Item No. 130 (91)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 58/M 9123 permitted to operate Mandenkavu - Mahe Rly Palam, Thottummal (via Thalassery Vadakkumbad HS)

Item No. 130 (92)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/H 9200 permitted to operate Koyyottupalam-Kannur HQ Hosp.

Item No. 130 (93)

Heard the counsel representing for both the applicant. Transfer of permit **granted** in respect of S/C KL 13/AC 9007 permitted to operate Aralam-Kannur as LSOS

Item No. 131

Heard, all the decision taken by the Secretary RTA is hereby **ratified**.

Item No. 132

Nil

Item No. 133

Next RTA meeting proposed to be conduct on **25.3.2015**

Secretary, RTA, Kannur

